See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230712709](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230712709_Methane_emission_potential_and_increased_efficiency_of_a_phytoremediation_system_bioaugmented_with_Bacillus_firmus_XJSL_1-10?enrichId=rgreq-adee61d2ab9b50e5b78545d2de3e1352-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzIzMDcxMjcwOTtBUzo0NzM5MDUyMzczNjg4MzRAMTQ4OTk5OTIyMTg2MA%3D%3D&el=1_x_2&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

[Methane emission potential and increased efficiency of a phytoremediation](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230712709_Methane_emission_potential_and_increased_efficiency_of_a_phytoremediation_system_bioaugmented_with_Bacillus_firmus_XJSL_1-10?enrichId=rgreq-adee61d2ab9b50e5b78545d2de3e1352-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzIzMDcxMjcwOTtBUzo0NzM5MDUyMzczNjg4MzRAMTQ4OTk5OTIyMTg2MA%3D%3D&el=1_x_3&_esc=publicationCoverPdf) system bioaugmented with Bacillus firmus XJSL 1-10

Article in International Journal of Phytoremediation · October 2012

All content following this page was uploaded by [Shalini Tandon](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Shalini-Tandon?enrichId=rgreq-adee61d2ab9b50e5b78545d2de3e1352-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzIzMDcxMjcwOTtBUzo0NzM5MDUyMzczNjg4MzRAMTQ4OTk5OTIyMTg2MA%3D%3D&el=1_x_10&_esc=publicationCoverPdf) on 20 March 2017.

International Journal of Phytoremediation

ISSN: 1522-6514 (Print) 1549-7879 (Online) Journal homepage:<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/bijp20>

METHANE EMISSION POTENTIAL AND INCREASED EFFICIENCY OF A PHYTOREMEDIATION SYSTEM BIOAUGMENTED WITH BACILLUS FIRMUS XJSL 1–10

Prachi A. Nimkar , Niranjan Kolekar , Shalini A. Tandon & Rakesh Kumar

To cite this article: Prachi A. Nimkar , Niranjan Kolekar , Shalini A. Tandon & Rakesh Kumar (2012) METHANE EMISSION POTENTIAL AND INCREASED EFFICIENCY OF A PHYTOREMEDIATION SYSTEM BIOAUGMENTED WITH BACILLUS FIRMUS XJSL 1–10, International Journal of Phytoremediation, 14:9, 845-853, DOI: [10.1080/15226514.2011.628717](http://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/15226514.2011.628717)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2011.628717>

Accepted author version posted online: 28 Dec 2011.

[Submit your article to this journal](http://www.tandfonline.com/action/authorSubmission?journalCode=bijp20&page=instructions) \mathbb{Z}

III Article views: 63

 $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ [View related articles](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/mlt/10.1080/15226514.2011.628717) \mathbf{C}

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=bijp20>

METHANE EMISSION POTENTIAL AND INCREASED EFFICIENCY OF A PHYTOREMEDIATION SYSTEM BIOAUGMENTED WITH *BACILLUS FIRMUS* **XJSL 1–10**

Prachi A. Nimkar, Niranjan Kolekar, Shalini A. Tandon, and Rakesh Kumar

National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Mumbai, India

Horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland mesocosms (HSSCW) designed to treat municipal waste water were bioaugmented with **Bacillus firmus** *XJSL 1–10. The efficiencies of the three HSSCW mesocosms (non-vegetated HSSCW,* **Schoenoplectus validus** *HSSCW and* **Bambusa vulgaris** *HSSCW) were assessed. Bioaugmentation not only enhanced the efficiency of the phytoremediation system but also reduced methane emission from an average of 51.3 mg/m2/d to 21.6 mg/m2/d in* **Schoenoplectus validus** *HSSCW and from an average of 1708 mg/m2/d to 1473 mg/m2/d in* **Bambusa vulgaris** *HSSCW. Each of the three types of bioaugmented HSSCWs showed higher purification efficiency with respect to the removal of BOD and NH4-N than the non-bioaugmented HSSCWs. The performance enhancement was most significant in bioaugmented* **Schoenoplectus validus** *HSSCW mesocosm with 48.8 and 44.8% lower BOD, and NH4-N, respectively than the non-bioaugmented HSSCW.*

KEY WORDS: purification, municipal waste water, constructed wetland, mesocosms

INTRODUCTION

Constructed wetlands are cost effective and eco-friendly systems harboring a number of essential microorganisms in various parts of the planted system. Microbes play a vital role as they help in removal of organic matter, eutrophicants and are also involved in many transformations responsible for the generation of various greenhouse gases in a wetland. Hence, creation of wetlands may have undesirable environmental consequences, as anaerobic conditions and low redox potential in wetlands favor GHG production and emission (Thiere *et al.* 2011). As one of the main greenhouse gases, methane emission from natural wetlands is recognized to contribute 20–39% of global warming (Laanbroek 2010). It has a global warming potential of 25 relative to $CO₂$ on a 100-year time range (Sha *et al.*) 2011). In the presence of anoxia and metabolic activity of the methanogenic microbes the accumulated organic carbon or $CO₂$ emitted from heterotrophic consumption can be reduced to methane (Conrad 1996). Methane emissions have been studied in constructed wetland designed for waste water treatment (Teiter and Mander 2005) and reports on methane

Address correspondence to Shalini A. Tandon, National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Mumbai, India 400 018. E-mail: tandon.shalini@gmail.com

846 P. A. NIMKAR ET AL.

emission from constructed wetlands have been variable. In a study by Maltais-Landry *et al.* (2009) GHG emissions were found to be 2 to 10 fold more in constructed wetlands than in natural wetlands. Carbon and oxygen availability is spatially and temporally heterogenous in constructed wetlands resulting in high and variable fluxes of methane (−377 to 38,000 mg C – CH4/m²/d) (Sovick *et al.* 2006; Ström *et al.* 2007). The emissions of methane from a constructed wetland is also a function of the type of plants used as different plant species have widely divergent (deleted number) microbes in their rhizosphere. Different wetland plants have varying capacity to transport methane and to oxidize it. It is known that different varieties of wetland rice plants emit different amounts of methane (Singh *et al.* 1997).

Bioaugmentation is the application of indigenous or allochthonous wild type or genetically modified organisms to polluted hazardous waste sites or bioreactors in order to accelerate the removal of undesired compounds (Limbergen *et al.* 1998). Fantroussi and Agathos (2005) defined bioaugmentation as the technique for improvement of the capacity of a contaminated matrix to remove pollution by the introduction of specific competent strains or consortia of microorganisms. There is limited information on bioaugmentation studies in constructed wetland for waste water treatment. Rustige and Nolde (2007) had performed laboratory experiments with specially adapted biomass that has been added to columns imitating constructed wetlands. In another study by Paredes *et al.* (2007) a subsurface flow constructed wetland was inoculated with anammox biomass and the rate of nitrogen removal was increased by 4–5-fold. Zou *et al.* (2008) reported that bioaugmentation caused an enhanced nitrogen removal in lab scale subsurface waste water infiltration system.

In this study, an attempt was made to increase the efficiency of a phytorememdiation system consisting of horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland (HSSCW) mesocosms designed to treat municipal waste water by bioaugmenting them with a bacterial species identified as *Bacillus firmus* XJSL 1–10. As wetlands are also known to be a source of methane, the bioaugumented HSSCWs (non-vegetated, with wetland plant species and with a non-wetland plants species) were also assessed for their methane emission potential. A non-wetland plant species was used in the study considering the advantage it offers due to the lack of methane transmission potential owing to the absence of aerenchymatous tissue in its shoots.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Constructed Wetland Design

The experimental wetland system was a horizontal sub-surface flow constructed wetland (HSSCW) mesocosm located at National Environmental Engineering Research Institute (NEERI), at Mumbai, India. There were three types of HSSCWs (non-vegetated HSSCW, *Schoenoplectus validus* HSSCW and *Bambusa vulgaris* HSSCW). Each type of HSSCW, made up of acrylic sheets had dimensions of 80 cm \times 30 cm \times 30 cm and baffles were used thus providing continuous flow and increasing the rate of sedimentation. The bottom layer of the unit comprised of gravels of 5.4–6.2 cm followed by graded gravels with reducing size. The top layer was filled with gravels of 1.3–1.6 cm diameter. The use of graded gravel bed helped in either avoiding or reducing the problem of clogging over a period of time. A plant density of 16 plants $/m²$ in each planted wetland was maintained and the study was conducted in triplicates.

Scheme of Operation

Municipal wastewater collected from a nearby treatment plant was used as the influent. The system was operated at hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 24 h in each unit, with a flow rate of 12 ml/min to analyze the extent of BOD, $NO₃-N$, $NH₄-N$ removal and methane emission. An HRT of 24 h was found to be optimum in the said design from the preliminary experiments.

Bacillus firmus XJSL 1-10 was isolated from the effluent of an established *Schoenoplectus validus* HSSCW as best results in bioaugmentation have been achieved with microbial strains that have been isolated from the same polluted environment since they are adapted to it (Nurk *et al.* 2009). Amongst the various strains isolated *Bacillus firmus* XJSL 1-10 was found to be the most efficient in utilizing organic matter from the waste water. Bacterial culture grown in Nutrient Broth Media (NBM) and incubated for 2 h (log phase) was used for bioaugmentation. To the bioaugmented system, 10 ml of bacterial inoculum was added per liter of sewage while in the non-bioaugmented system. 10 ml of NBM devoid of the bacterial isolate was added per liter of sewage.

Sampling and Analysis

A comparative analysis between the influent and the effluent after 24 hours was carried out for Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), ammoniacal nitrogen, and nitrate nitrogen according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA-AWWA-WEF, 1995).

Estimation of Methane Flux

Methane flux was estimated by the "closed chamber technique" (Pathak *et al.* 2003). The closed chamber technique envisaged the use of chambers of 80 cm \times 30 cm \times 100 cm size (according to experiment) made of 6 mm acrylic sheets for gas sampling. The chambers were inserted into the groove or channel of the HSSCW and the channel was filled with water to make the system air-tight. One 3-way stopcock (Eastern Medikit Ltd. India) was fitted at the top of chamber to collect gas samples. The chambers were thoroughly flushed several times with a 50 ml syringe to homogenize the inside air. Gas samples at 0 and 1 h were collected from the chamber. Gas samples were drawn with a syringe with the help of hypodermic needle. After drawing sample, chambers were made air tight with three way stop cock. Head space volume inside the box were recorded to calculate flux of methane. The gas samples were analyzed by Gas Chromatograph (GC 2014 Shimadzu) equipped with Porapak Q column and FID. Injector temperature at 150◦C, column temperature at 40◦C and detector temperature at 100◦C was maintained.

Statistical Analysis

ANOVA and Pearsons correlation was determined using SPSS 13.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Methane emissions from *Schoenoplectus validus* HSSCW was found to be less than the emissions from *Bambusa vulgaris* HSSCW and non-vegetated HSSCW (Table 1). One

Table 1 Response to bioaugmentation in three types of CW mesocosms in terms of BOD, NH4-N and NO3-N in the effluent and methane emissions from the mesocosms m the miesione fre ms of BOD NH₄-N and NO₂-N in the effluent and meth: $\frac{1}{1}$ αf CW tation in three nse to hio Table 1 Re

Ę. BN: *Bambusa vulgaris* non-augmented, BB: *Bambusa vulgaris* bioaugmented.

DN: *Dammasa vutgaris* non-augmented, DB: *Dammasa vutgaris* pioaugm
NN: Non-vegetated non-augmented, NB: Non-vegetated bioaugmented.
*SD: Standard Deviation. NN: Non-vegetated non-augmented, NB: Non-vegetated bioaugmented.

∗SD: Standard Deviation.

Table 2 ANOVA table showing significant difference in BOD, Ammoniacal Nitrogen, and methane emission between three types of mesocosms: *Schoenoplectus* (S), *Bambusa* (Bam), and non-vegetated (NV)

NB: Non-bioaugmented.

B: Bioaugmented.

way ANOVA was done and the methane emission values were found to be significantly different (at 1% level of significance) between *Schoenoplectus validus, Bambusa vulgaris,* and non-vegetated HSSCW (in both bioaugmented and non-bioaugmented mesocosms) (Table 2).

This is attributed to the presence of aerenchymatous tissue in the shoots of the *Schoenoplectus validus* which supplies atmospheric oxygen to the roots and the rhizosphere. The supply of oxygen favors the establishment of oxygen rich zones. Consequently, the emission of methane was less due either inhibition of methanogenesis or /and promotion of methane oxidation. It is known that dissolved oxygen acts as an electron acceptor to constrain methane production in the oxidation of organic carbon in the sediment (Stadmark and Leonardson 2005). The supply of oxygen via the shoots of *Schoenoplectus validus* was such that the net methane emission (methane production- methane oxidation) was less than from the non-vegetated and *Bambusa vulgaris* HSSCWs. Due to the absence of aerenchymatous tissue in *Bambusa vulgaris* there was more anaerobicity in *Bambusa vulgaris* HSSCW which led to more emission of methane than from *Schoenoplectus validus* HSSCW. Higher methane emission from *Bambusa vulgaris* HSSCW than from non-vegetated HSSCW can be explained by the presence of root exudates which comprises of amino acids, simple sugars and nucleic acid derivatives and have a stimulatory effect on the growth of the microbes (Kraffczyk *et al.* 1984; Lynch and Whipps 1990). In addition, exudates may act as primers for the degradation of existing organic matter (Bottner *et al.* 1988; Dormaar 1990). The CO2 released further gets reduced to methane by methanogens (Conrad 1996). Also gases such as ethylene and $CO₂$ are a part of low molecular weight root exudates (Grayston and Jones 1996). On bioaugmenting the wetlands there was reduction in the methane emission

Mean		SD	SE	SM	df	F	Sig
S(NB)	57.3	2.85	1.64	1327		277.6	0.0001
S(B)	21.6	1.2	0.69				
Bam NB) 1708		94.5	54.5	83072		10.61	0.031
Bam(B)	1473	82	47.34				
NV(NB)	897	47.5	27.42	674690		539.2	0.0001
NV(B)	226	12.5	7.21				

Table 3 ANOVA table showing significantly lower mean values of methane emission (mg/m²/d) in bioaugmented mesocosms than the non-bioaugmented system in *Schoenoplectus* (S), *Bambusa* (Bam), and non-vegetated (NV)

SD: Standard Deviation, SE: Standard Error, SM: Square of Means.

j

NB: Non-bioaugmented, B: bioaugmented, S: Schoenopleetus, Bam: Bambusa, NV: Non-vegetated, df: Degree of freedom, SS: Sum of Squares. NB: Non-bioaugmented, B: bioaugmented, S: *Schoenopleetus*, Bam: *Bambusa,* NV: Non-vegetated, df: Degree of freedom, SS: Sum of Squares.

in all the wetlands (Table 1). On way ANOVA was done and the methane emission from bioaugmented mesocosms were significantly less (at 1% level of significance) than the non-bioaugmented mesocosms (Table 3).

Our results have shown methane emission as $21.6 \text{ mg/m}^2/d$ from bioaugmented Schoenoplectus validus HSSCW and 51.3 mg/m²/d from non-bioaugmented Schoenoplec*tus validus* HSSCW with an influent BOD of 180 mg/l. This was found to be lower than methane emission (96.5 mg/m²/d) from another horizontal flow constructed wetland with a lower influent BOD of 62.8 mg/l as reported by Sovik *et al.* (2006). Less methane emission is attributed to the plant species used and the bioaugmentation approach.

As stated earlier the selected bacterial species identified as *Bacillus firmus* XJSL 1–10 was most efficient in removing organic matter from waste water amongst all the isolated strains. Therefore, the added aerobic bacterial species led to efficient aerobic degradation of the organic matter present in the waste water. Considering all the bioaugmented mesocosms (*Schoenoplectus validus, Bambusa vulgaris* and non-vegetated HSSCW) the Pearsons correlation between BOD removal and methane emission was −0.607 which was significant at 0.05 level (1-tailed). Even in non-bioaugmented mesocosms the Pearsons correlation between BOD removal and methane emission was negatively correlated which was significant at 0.05 level (1-tailed). A negative correlation indicates that BOD removal was also aerobic in all the mesocosms. BOD removal was enhanced in bioaugmented mesocosms as indicated by significantly different BOD values observed in bioaugmented and non-bioaugmented mesocosms (Table 4).

In bioagumented *Schoenoplectus validus* HSSCW there was significant enhancement in the removal of various constituents present in municipal waste water. There was 48.8 and 44.8% lower BOD and NH4-N values, respectively observed in bioaugmented *Schoenoplectus validus* mesocosm than in non-bioaugmented *Schoenoplectus validus* mesocosm (Table 1). Also, when the same bacterial species was used for bioaugmenting non-wetland plant species *(Bambusa vulgaris)* mesocosm an enhancement in the removal of the waste water constituents was observed. There was 12.5 and 31.4% lower BOD and NH4-N, respectively in bioaugmented *Bambusa vulgaris* mesocosm than in non-bioaugmented *Bambusa vulgaris* mesocosm (Table 1). In bioaugmented non-vegetated mesocosm there was 8.4 and 31% lower BOD and NH4-N, respectively than in non-bioaugmented mesocosm (Table 1). As per the ANOVA, BOD and NH_4 -N values were significantly lower (at 1% level of significance) in bioaugmented mesocosms as compared to the non-bioaugmented mesocosms (Table 4). Nitrifiers are chemoautotrophs which derive carbon from carbon dioxide and energy from the oxidation of NH_4 -N. Hence, the increase in the removal of NH_4 -N by nitrifiers is attributed to the abundance of carbon dioxide which is released by the metabolic activity of the added bacterial species or through the uptake of ammonical nitrogen by the added bacterial species.

CONCLUSION

The added bacterial species *Bacillus firmus* XJSL 1-10 was effective in increasing the municipal waste water treatment efficiency in terms of BOD and $NH₄$ -N removal in the designed horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland system with a wetland plant species, *Schoenoplectus validus*. Even in the non-wetland plant (*Bambusa vulgaris*) mesocosm the response was significant. Besides, the performance enhancement the bioaugmentation approach in the phytoremediation system undertaken effectively reduced methane emission from all the three types of HSSCW mesocosms.

REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association-American Water Works Association-Water Environment Federation (APHA-AWWA-WEF). 1995. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 20th Ed. Washington (DC): American Public Health Association, American Water Works Assoc. Water Pollution Control Fed.
- Bottner P, Sallih Z, Billies G. 1988. Root activity and carbon metabolism in soils. Biol Fertil Soils. 30:139–142.
- Conrad R. 1996. Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H2, CO,CH4,OCS,N2O and NO). Microbiol Tev. 60:609–640.
- Dormaar JF. 1990. Effect of active roots on the decomposition of soil organic materials. Biol Fertil Soils. 10:121–126.
- Fantroussi SE, Agathos SN. 2005. Is bioaugmentation a feasible strategy for pollutant removal and site remediation? Current Opinion Microbiol. 8:268–275.
- Grayston SJ, Vaughan DJ. 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: the importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. App Soil Ecol. 5:29–56.
- Kraffczyk I, Trolldenier G, Beringer H. 1984. Soluble root exudates of maize: Influence of potassium supply and rhizosphere microsphere. Soil Biol Biochem. 16:315–322.
- Laanbroek HJ. 2010. Methane emission from natural wetlands interplay between emergent macrophytes and soil microbial processes–a mini review. Ann. Bot. 105:141–153.
- Limbergen HV, Top EM, Verstraete W. 1998. Bioaugmentation in activated sludge: current features and future perspectives. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 50:16–23.
- Lynch JM, Whipps JM. 1990. Substrate flow in the rhizosphere. Plant Soil. 129:1–10.
- Maltais-Landry G, Maranger R, Brisson J, Chazarenc F. 2009. Greenhouse gas production and efficiency of planted and artificially aerated constructed wetlands. Environ Poll. 157:748–754.
- Nurk K, Zaytsev I, Talpsep I, Truu J, Mander U. 2009. Bioagumentation in a newly established LECAbased horizontal flow soil filter reduces the adaptation period and enhances denitrification. Biores Technol. 100:6284–6289.
- Pathak H, Prasad S, Bhatia A, Singh S, Kumar S, Singh J, Jain MC. 2003. Methane emission from rice – wheat cropping system of India in relation to irrigation, farmyard manure and dicyandiamide application. Agric Ecosys Environ. 97:309–316.
- Paredes D, Kuschk P, Stange F, Muller RA, Koser H. 2007. Model experiments on improving nitrogen removal in laboratory scale subsurface constructed wetlands by enhancing the anaerobic ammonia oxidation. Water Sci Technol. 56:145–150.
- Rustige H, Nolde E. 2007. Nitrogen elimination from landfill leachates using an extra carbon source in subsurface flow wetlands. Water Sci Technol. 56:125–133.
- Sha C, Mitsch JW, Mander U, Lu J, Batson J, Zhang J, He W. 2011. Methane emissions from freshwater riverine wetlands. Ecol Eng. 37:16–24.
- Singh S, Kumar S, Jain MC. 1997. Effect of rice cultivars on methane emission. Biol Fertil Soils. 25:285–289.
- Sovik AK, Augustin J, Heikkinen K, Huttunen JT, Necki JM, Karjalainen SM, Klove B, Liikanen A, Mander U, Puustinen M, Teiter S, Wachniew P. 2006. Emission of greenhouse gases nitrous oxide and methane from constructed wetlands in Europe. J Environ. Qual. 35:2360– 2373.
- Stadmark J, Leonardson L. 2005. Emissions of green house gases from ponds constructed for nitrogen removal. Ecol Eng. 25:542–551.
- Ström L, Lamppa A, Christensen TR. 2007. Greenhouse gas emissions from a constructed wetland in southern Sweden. Wetlands Ecol Manag. 15:43–50.
- Teiter S, Mander U. 2005. Emission of N2O, N2,CH4 and CO2 from constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment and from riparian buffer zones. Ecol Eng. 25:528–541.
- Thiere G, Stadmark J, Weisner SB. 2011. Nitrogen retention versus methane emission: Environmental benefits and risks of large – scale wetland creation. Ecol Eng. 37:6–15.
- Zou JL, Dai Y, Sun TH, Li GB, Li QY. 2008. Effect of amended soil and hydraulic load on enhanced biological nitrogen removal in lab-scale SWIS. J Hazard Mater. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.07.030.